

Memo

TO: Mayor and Council

FROM: Curtis Hindman, Interim Community Development Director

DATE: April 24, 2023

RE: 49 Horseshoe Bend

Rezone from R40 to RH Variance for lot size less than 0.5 acres in RH

Planning Commission recommended approval to rezone to RH with the condition that the rear setback be a minimum of 20 feet.

Background

The applicant requests to amend the Zoning Map for the property located at 49 Horseshoe Bend from Residential (R-40) to Residential (RH). A variance will be needed for the lot size as this lot is approximately 0.46 acres and the minimum lot size in RH is 0.5 acres.

R40 – Front – 40 feet, Side – 20 feet, and Rear -40 feet

RH – Front – 15 feet, Side – 20 feet, and Rear – zero


Below is an aerial showing the vacant lot today. The applicant asserts that with R40 the setbacks will make the lot difficult to build upon but if rezoned to RH the setbacks will be more favorable for building a single family home similar to what had been placed on the adjacent lots.



Zoned R40:



According to the Comprehensive Plan amended and adopted in 2021 this property has been shown in the “Future Land Use Plan” as Single Family Residential.



Administrator's Report

In consideration of the requested zoning change, the Planning Commission should consider the zoning ordinance, section 74-46, which lists the following twelve standards governing the exercise of the zoning power of the City of Senoia.

1. The existing land uses and zoning classification of nearby property.

The subject property is currently zoned R40 and located within the city limits and lies within the historic downtown overlay district.

2. The suitability of the subject property for the zoned purpose.

The land is suitable for residential use as proposed. The current lot size however does not meet the requested RH minimum lot size and will need a variance to have less than 0.5 acres minimum lots size.

3. The extent to which the property values of the subject property are diminished by the zoning restrictions.

There is no reduction in value of the land due to the existing or proposed residential zoning.

4. The relative gain to the public, as compared to the hardship imposed upon the individual property owner.

The application represents no gain to the public. There is no recognized hardship for the property owner.

5. Whether the subject property has a reasonable economic use as currently zoned.

The subject property does have a reasonable economic use for residential use as currently zoned but will need more variances in R40 due to setbacks and the shape of the lot.

6. Whether the proposed zoning will be a use that is suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby property.

The proposed zoning and use as residential are both suitable and similar to the existing uses adjacent to the subject property.

7. Whether the zoning proposal will adversely affect the existing use or usability of adjacent or nearby property.

The zoning of RH will not negatively impact the adjacent properties existing use or usability. The setbacks in RH are smaller than in R40 but remain adequate for the intended use and lot size.

*The ordinance allows a rear setback of zero and **staff recommend that a minimum rear setback of 20 feet** be imposed as a condition of the rezoning request.*

8. Whether the zoning proposal is in conformity with the policies and intent of the land use element of the Comprehensive Plan.

The future character map shows this property as Residential.

9. Whether the zoning proposal will result in a use, which will or could cause excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools.

No excessive use or burden is recognized in this application.

10. Whether there are other existing or changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property, which give supporting grounds for either approval or disapproval of the zoning proposal.

There is none.

11. Whether the subject property contains jurisdictional wetlands of the United States. If so, the applicant will be required to document permit approval for the proposed development from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers before any formal action can be taken on the zoning proposal.

There is none.

12. Whether the subject property may be large enough to qualify as a Development of Regional Impact. If so, then an application for Review must be filed with the Regional Development Center.

The development is not big enough to qualify.